

# Reductions and Causality (III)



[jean-jacques.levy@inria.fr](mailto:jean-jacques.levy@inria.fr)  
Escuela de Ciencias Informáticas  
Universidad de Buenos Aires  
July 24, 2013

<http://jeanjacqueslevy.net/courses/13eci>



# Plan

- recap
- prefix ordering
- properties of prefix ordering
- the lattice of reductions
- standard reductions as canonical reductions

# Prefix ordering

CENTRE DE RECHERCHE  
COMMUN




INRIA  
MICROSOFT RESEARCH

# Prefix ordering (1/4)

- Definition:**

Let  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  be 2 coinitial reductions. Then  $\rho$  is prefix of  $\sigma$  up to permutations,  $\rho \sqsubseteq \sigma$ , iff  $\rho/\sigma = \emptyset^m$



- Notice that  $\rho \sqsubseteq \sigma$  means that  $\rho \sqcup \sigma \simeq \sigma$

# Properties of prefix ordering (1/3)

- **Proposition**

(a)  $\rho \sqsubseteq \sigma \sqsubseteq \rho$  iff  $\rho \simeq \sigma$

(b)  $\sqsubseteq$  is an ordering relation


(c)  $\rho \simeq \rho' \sqsubseteq \sigma' \simeq \sigma$  implies  $\rho \sqsubseteq \sigma$

(d)  $\rho \sqsubseteq \sigma$  iff  $\tau\rho \sqsubseteq \tau\sigma$

(e)  $\rho \sqsubseteq \sigma$  implies  $\rho/\tau \sqsubseteq \sigma/\tau$

(f)  $\rho \sqsubseteq \sigma$  iff  $\exists \tau, \rho\tau \simeq \sigma$

(g)  $\rho \sqsubseteq \sigma$  iff  $\rho \sqcup \sigma \simeq \sigma$



# Properties of prefix ordering (2/3)

- **Proposition** [lattice of reductions]


$$\rho \sqsubseteq \rho \sqcup \sigma$$

$$\sigma \sqsubseteq \rho \sqcup \sigma$$

$$\rho \sqsubseteq \tau, \sigma \sqsubseteq \tau \text{ implies } \rho \sqcup \sigma \sqsubseteq \tau$$

also named a *push-out*

unique



# Properties of prefix ordering (3/3)

- **Proposition** [lattice of reductions]

$$\rho \sqsubseteq \rho \sqcup \sigma$$

$$\sigma \sqsubseteq \rho \sqcup \sigma$$

$$\rho \sqsubseteq \tau, \sigma \sqsubseteq \tau \text{ implies } \rho \sqcup \sigma \sqsubseteq \tau$$

- **Proof** First two, already proved.

Let  $\rho \sqsubseteq \tau, \sigma \sqsubseteq \tau$ . Then

$$(\rho \sqcup \sigma) / \tau$$

$$= (\rho / \tau) ((\sigma / \rho) / (\tau / \rho))$$

$$= \emptyset^m \sigma / (\rho \sqcup \tau)$$

$$= \emptyset^m \sigma / (\tau \sqcup \rho)$$

$$= \emptyset^m (\sigma / \tau) / \dots$$

$$= \emptyset^m \emptyset^n / \dots = \emptyset^m \emptyset^n$$

# Standard reductions

CENTRE DE RECHERCHE  
COMMUN



INRIA  
MICROSOFT RESEARCH




# Standard reductions (1/6)

- When  $R$  is a single redex, we write freely  $R/\mathcal{F}$  for  $\{R\}/\mathcal{F}$  or  $\mathcal{F}/R$  for  $\mathcal{F}/\{R\}$ .
- **Proposition:**  
Let  $R$  be a redex to the left of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $R/\mathcal{F}$  is a singleton.
- **Definition:** The following reduction is **standard**

$$\rho : M = M_0 \xrightarrow{R_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{R_2} M_2 \cdots \xrightarrow{R_n} M_n = N$$

iff for all  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $i < j$ , then  $R_j$  is not residual along  $\rho$  of some  $R'_j$  to the left of  $R_i$  in  $M_{i-1}$ .


# Standard reductions (2/6)



# Standard reductions (3/6)

- **Standardization thm** [Curry 50]

Let  $M \xrightarrow{\star} N$ . Then  $M \xrightarrow{\text{st}} N$ .




Any reduction can be performed outside-in and left-to-right.

# Standard reductions (4/6)

- **Standardization thm** +

Any  $\rho$  has a unique  $\sigma$  standard equivalent by permutations.

$$\forall \rho, \exists! \sigma_{\text{st}}, \rho \simeq \sigma_{\text{st}}$$



Standard reductions are canonical representatives in their equivalence class by permutations.

# Standard reductions (5/6)

- **Lemma** (left-to-right creation) [O'Donnell]

Let  $R$  be redex to the left of redex  $S$  in  $M$ . Let  $M \xrightarrow{S} N$ .  
 If  $T'$  is redex in  $N$  to the left of the residual  $R'$  of  $R$ ,  
 $T'$  is residual of a redex  $T$  in  $M$ .

$$M = \cdots \underbrace{((\lambda x. \cdots S \cdots) B)}_R \cdots \xrightarrow{\quad} \cdots \underbrace{((\lambda x. \cdots S' \cdots) B)} \cdots = N$$

$$M = \cdots \underbrace{((\lambda x. A)(\cdots S \cdots))}_R \cdots \xrightarrow{\quad} \cdots ((\lambda x. A)(\cdots S' \cdots)) \cdots = N$$

$$M = \cdots \underbrace{((\lambda x. A) B)}_R \cdots S \cdots \xrightarrow{\quad} \cdots ((\lambda x. A) B) \cdots S' \cdots = N$$

One cannot create a new redex across another left one.

# Standard reductions (6/6)

- **Lemma** If  $R$  to the left of  $R_1$  and  $\rho$  is standard reduction starting with contracting  $R_1$ . Then  $R/\rho \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof:** application of previous lemma.

- **Proof of unicity of standard reduction in each equivalence class**

Let  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  be standard and  $\rho \simeq \sigma$ .

They start with same reduction and differ at some point.

Say that  $\rho$  is more to the left than  $\sigma$ . Then at that point redex  $R$  contracted by  $\rho$  has (unique) residual by  $\sigma$ .

Therefore  $\rho \not\approx \sigma$ .

# Exercices

CENTRE DE RECHERCHE  
COMMUN



INRIA  
MICROSOFT RESEARCH

# Exercices

- Show all standard reductions in the 2 reduction graphs of beginning of this class.
- Show that all reductions to normal form are equivalent.
- Show that there is a single standard reduction to normal form. What is that reduction ?
- Find an example where there is no greatest lower bound of 2 reductions. (Hint: you should use  $K$ -terms)
- Show that there is inf-lattice of reductions in  $\lambda I$ -calculus.
- Draw lattice of reductions of  $\Delta\Delta$  ( $\Delta = \lambda x.xx$ ).
- What are standard reductions in derivations of context-free languages ?