

MPRI Concurrency (course number 2-3) 2004-2005:

π -calculus

Exercises

16 November 2004

<http://pauillac.inria.fr/~leifer/teaching/mpri-concurrency-2004/>

James J. Leifer

INRIA Rocquencourt

James.Leifer@inria.fr

1. Consider the term $!(x(w).\bar{x}w) \mid \bar{x}x \mid x(y).\nu v.\bar{y}v$. You may assume that all bound names are distinct from each other and from all free names.

- (a) Show all possible labelled transitions of this process.
- (b) Show the derivation trees for these.

2. Demonstrate the necessity of the side condition $\text{bn}(\alpha) \cap \text{fn}(Q) = \emptyset$ in the rule (lab-par-l). To do so, suppose this side condition were deleted. Now find a process P such that $P \xrightarrow{\tau} \xrightarrow{\tau}$ and for which after alpha converting it to P' , it is not the case that $P' \xrightarrow{\tau} \xrightarrow{\tau}$.

3. The coding I gave in the slides of synchronous π -calculus in terms of asynchronous π -calculus is unsatisfactory (despite my claim otherwise). The problem is that the translation uses asynchronous *polyadic* π -calculus (e.g. $\bar{x}\langle y, z \rangle$), not asynchronous monadic π -calculus, which was the original goal.

- (a) Show that the original goal can be achieved by defining a translation $\llbracket - \rrbracket$ from synchronous monadic π -calculus directly to asynchronous monadic π -calculus.
- (b) Show the reduction steps of $\llbracket \bar{x}y.P \mid x(u).Q \rrbracket$.

4. Consider the definition of strong bisimilarity given in the slides:

A relation \mathcal{R} is a strong bisimulation if for all $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$, where $\text{bn}(\alpha) \cap \text{fn}(Q) = \emptyset$, there exists Q' such that $Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'$ and $(P', Q') \in \mathcal{R}$, and symmetrically.

Strong bisimilarity \sim is the largest strong bisimulation.

Let \sim' be exactly the same except we omit the side condition $\text{bn}(\alpha) \cap \text{fn}(Q) = \emptyset$.

- (a) Is one included in the other, i.e. $(\sim) \subseteq (\sim')$ or $(\sim') \subseteq (\sim)$?
- (b) Are they equal? If not, find a pair of processes (P, Q) that distinguish the relations, i.e. $P \sim Q$ but not $P \sim' Q$ or vice versa.