Simple proofs for simple programs

Jean-Jacques Lévy Iscas - Inria

> Journées LAC Chambéry, 20-11-14

Plan

- Why3
- demo with merge sort
- and dfs for graphs
- conclusions

Goal

Write elegant proofs for elegant programs

- training in program proofs
 checked by computers
- + useful to teach algorithms

.. with Chen Ran(Iscas)





- 3rd release of system Why http://why3.lri.fr LRI (orsay) + Inria + Cnrs [Filliâtre, Paskevich, Marché...]
- small Pascal-like imperative programming language

[with ML syntax 😕 !!]



invariants + assertions in Hoare logic

[+ recursive functions, inductive datatypes, inductive predicates]

• interfaces with modern automatic provers

[alt-ergo, cvc3, cvc4, eprover, gappa, simplify, spass, yices, z3, ...]

• interfaces with interactive proof assistants

[coq, pvs, isabelle]

MLW programming language

```
let swap (a: array int) (i: int) (j: int) =
let v = a[i] in
  a[i] <- a[j];</pre>
  a[j] <- v
let selection_sort (a: array int) =
  for i = 0 to length a - 1 do
    let imin = ref i in
    for j = i + 1 to length a - 1 do
      if a[j] < a[!imin] then imin := j
    done;
    swap a !imin i
```

done



Hoare logic

```
let swap (a: array int) (i: int) (j: int) =
let v = a[i] in
 a[i] <- a[j];</pre>
  a[j] <- v
let selection_sort (a: array int) =
   for i = 0 to length a - 1 do
    let imin = ref i in
    for j = i + 1 to length a - 1 do
      invariant { i <= !imin < j }</pre>
      invariant { forall k: int. i <= k < j -> a[!imin] <= a[k] }
      if a[j] < a[!imin] then imin := j
    done;
    swap a !min i
  done
                             imin
 0
```



Why₃ theories

theories about arrays

```
let swap (a: array int) (i: int) (j: int) =
   requires { 0 <= i < length a /\ 0 <= j < length a }
   ensures { exchange (old a) a i j }
  let v = a[i] in
   a[i] <- a[j];
   a[j] <- v</pre>
```

(see the why3 libraries)

http://why3.lri.fr

Full program

```
let selection_sort (a: array int) =
    ensures { sorted a \land permut (old a) a }
'L:
    for i = 0 to length a - 1 do
      invariant { sorted_sub a 0 i \land permut (at a 'L) a}
      invariant { forall k1 k2: int. 0 \le k1 \le i \le k2 \le length a -> a[k1] \le a[k2] }
      let imin = ref i in
      for j = i + 1 to length a - 1 do
        invariant { i <= !imin < j }</pre>
        invariant { forall k: int. i <= k < j -> a[!imin] <= a[k] }
        if a[j] < a[!imin] then imin := j
      done;
      swap a !imin i ;
    done
```



An example

Mergesort (1/3)



Mergesort (2/3)



Mergesort (3/3)



Full program (1/2)

```
let rec mergesort1 (a b: array int) (lo hi: int) =
   requires {Array.length a = Array.length b / 
              0 \le 10 \le (Array.length a) / 0 \le hi \le (Array.length a) \}
   ensures { sorted_sub a lo hi \land modified_inside (old a) a lo hi }
 if lo + 1 < hi then
 let m = div (lo+hi) 2 in
   assert{ lo < m < hi};</pre>
   mergesort1 a b lo m;
'L2: mergesort1 a b m hi;
   assert { array_eq_sub (at a 'L2) a lo m};
   for i = lo to m-1 do
     invariant { array_eq_sub b a lo i}
     b[i] <- a[i]
     done;
   assert{ array_eq_sub a b lo m};
   assert{ sorted_sub b lo m};
   for j = m to hi-1 do
     invariant { array_eq_sub_rev_offset b a m j (hi - j)}
     invariant { array_eq_sub a b lo m}
     b[j] <- a[m + hi - 1 - j]
     done:
   assert{ array_eq_sub a b lo m};
   assert{ sorted_sub b lo m};
   assert{ array_eq_sub_rev_offset b a m hi 0};
   assert{ dsorted_sub b m hi};
```

Full program (2/2)

```
'L4: let i = ref lo in
   let j = ref hi in
   for k = lo to hi - 1 do
     invariant{ lo <= !i < hi \land lo <= !j <= hi}
     invariant\{ k = !i + hi - !j \}
     invariant{ sorted_sub a lo k }
      invariant{ forall k1 k2: int. lo <= k1 < k -> !i <= k2 < !j -> a[k1] <= b[k2] }
     invariant{ bitonic b !i !j }
     invariant{ modified_inside a (at a 'L4) lo hi }
     assert \{ |i < |j \}:
     if b[!i] < b[!j - 1] then
        begin a[k] <- b[!i]; i := !i + 1 end
     else
        begin j := !j - 1; a[k] <- b[!j] end
   done
 let mergesort (a: array int) =
   ensures { sorted a }
 let n = Array.length a in
 let b = Array.make n 0 in
   mergesort1 a b 0 n
```

Full program (logic 1/2)

module MergeSort

use import int.Int use import int.EuclideanDivision use import int.Div2 use import ref.Ref use import array.Array use import array.ArraySorted use import array.ArrayPermut use import array.ArrayEq use map.Map as M clone map.MapSorted as N with type elt = int, predicate le = (<=)predicate map_eq_sub_rev_offset (a1 a2: M.map int int) (l u: int) (offset: int) = forall i: int. $l \leq i < u \rightarrow M$.get a1 i = M.get a2 (offset + l + u - 1 - i) predicate array_eq_sub_rev_offset (a1 a2: array int) (l u: int) (offset: int) = map_eq_sub_rev_offset a1.elts a2.elts l u offset predicate map_dsorted_sub (a: M.map int int) (l u: int) = forall i1 i2 : int. $l \ll i1 \ll i2 \ll u \rightarrow M$.get a i2 $\ll M$.get a i1 predicate dsorted_sub (a: array int) (l u: int) = map_dsorted_sub a.elts l u

Full program (logic 2/2)

```
predicate map_bitonic_sub (a: M.map int int) (l u: int) = l < u ->
exists i: int. l <= i <= u /\ N.sorted_sub a l i /\ map_dsorted_sub a i u</pre>
```

```
predicate bitonic (a: array int) (l u: int) =
  map_bitonic_sub a.elts l u
```

```
lemma map_bitonic_incr : forall a: M.map int int, l u: int.
map_bitonic_sub a l u -> map_bitonic_sub a (l+1) u
```

```
lemma map_bitonic_decr : forall a: M.map int int, l u: int.
map_bitonic_sub a l u -> map_bitonic_sub a l (u-1)
```

```
predicate modified_inside (a1 a2: array int) (l u: int) =
  (Array.length a1 = Array.length a2) /\
  array_eq_sub a1 a2 0 l /\ array_eq_sub a1 a2 u (Array.length a1)
```

Coq files

```
Lemma sorted_sub_weakening: forall (a:(map.Map.map Z Z)) (1:Z) (u:Z) (1':Z)(u':Z),
  (1 \le 1')%Z -> (u' \le u)%Z -> sorted_sub2 a l u -> sorted_sub2 a l' u'.
Proof.
move=> a l u l' u' Hl_le_l' Hu'_le_u Hlu_sorted.
unfold sorted_sub2 => i1 i2 [Hl'_le_i1 Hi1_le_i2_lt_u'].
apply Hlu_sorted.
by omega.
Qed.
Lemma dsorted_sub_weakening: forall (a:(map.Map.map Z Z)) (1:Z) (u:Z) (1':Z) (u':Z),
  (1 \le 1')%Z -> (u' \le u)%Z -> map_dsorted_sub a l u -> map_dsorted_sub a l' u'.
Proof.
move=> a l u l' u' Hl_le_l' Hu'_le_u Hlu_dsorted.
unfold map_dsorted_sub => i1 i2 [Hl'_le_i1 Hi1_le_i2_lt_u].
apply Hlu_dsorted.
by omega.
Oed.
Lemma sorted_sub_diag: forall (a:(map.Map.map Z Z)) (1:Z),
  sorted sub2 a l l.
Proof.
move \Rightarrow a l.
unfold sorted_sub2 => i1 i2 [Hl_le_i1 Hi1_le_i2_lt_l].
have Hl_lt_l: (l < l)%Z.

    by omega.

by apply Zlt_irrefl in Hl_lt_l.
Oed.
```

Coq files

```
(** Why3 goal *)
Theorem map_bitonic_incr : forall (a:(map.Map.map Z Z)) (1:Z) (u:Z),
  (map_bitonic_sub a l u) -> (map_bitonic_sub a (l + 1%Z)%Z u).
Proof.
move=> a l u Hlu_bitonic.
unfold map_bitonic_sub => Hl1_lt_u.
unfold map_bitonic_sub in Hlu_bitonic.
have Hl_lt_u: (l < u)%Z.
- by omega.
apply Hlu_bitonic in Hl_lt_u.
move: Hl_lt_u=> [j [Hl_le_j_le_u [Hlj_sorted Hju_dsorted]]].
move: Hl_le_j_le_u => [Hl_le_j Hj_le_u].
apply (Zle_lt_or_eq l j) in Hl_le_j.
case: Hl_le_j \Rightarrow [Hl_lt_j | Hl_eq_j].
- exists j.
  split.
  + by omega.
  + split.

    apply (sorted_sub_weakening a l j).

      + by apply (Z.le_succ_diag_r).
     + reflexivity.
     + exact Hlj_sorted.
    - exact Hju_dsorted.
- exists (l+1)%Z.
  split.
  + by omega.
  + split.

    by apply sorted_sub_diag.

    apply (dsorted_sub_weakening a l u).

     + by omega.
      + by omega.
      + rewrite Hl_eq_j.
        exact Hju_dsorted.
```

Qed.

Examples with Graphs

Depth-first search in graphs (1/4)

- reachability [the 'white path theorem']
- non white-to-black edges in undirected graphs



- acyclicity test
- articulation points
- strongly connected components

Kosaraju, Tarjan

Depth-first search in graphs (2/4)

representation as array of lists of successors





Depth-first search in graphs (3/4)

spanning trees = call graph of DFS

:1

```
let rec dfs (g: graph) (x: int) (c: array color) =
  c[x] \leftarrow GRAY;
  let sons = ref (g[x]) in
  FORALL y in sons do
      if c[y] = WHITE then dfs g y c;
    done;
    c[x] <- BLACK
let dfs_main (g: graph) =
   let n = \text{length}(g) in
   let c = Array.make n WHITE in
                                               6
   for x = 0 to n - 1 do
     if c[x] = WHITE then
       dfs g x c
   done
```



Depth-first search in graphs (4/4)

• spanning trees = call graph of DFS in mlw

```
let rec dfs (g: graph) (x: int) (c: array color) =
  c[x] \leftarrow GRAY;
  let sons = ref (g[x]) in
  while !sons <> Nil do
    match !sons with
    | Nil -> ()
    | Cons y sons' ->
      if c[y] = WHITE then dfs g y c;
      sons := sons'
     end;
    done;
    c[x] <- BLACK
let dfs_main (g: graph) =
   let n = \text{length}(g) in
   let c = Array. make n WHITE in
   for x = 0 to n - 1 do
     if c[x] = WHITE then
       dfs g x c
   done
```



Undirected graph: no W2B arc (1/3)

function order (g: graph) : int = length g
predicate vertex (g: graph) (x: int) = 0 <= x < order g
predicate out (g: graph) (x: int) =
forall y: int. vertex g x -> mem y g[x] -> vertex g y
predicate g_edge (g: graph) (x: int) =
forall y : int. (vertex g x ∧ mem y g[x]) <-> edge x y
predicate double (g: graph) (x: int) =
forall y: int. vertex g x -> mem y g[x] -> mem x g[y]
predicate wf (g: graph) =
forall x: int. vertex g x -> out g x ∧ g_edge g x ∧ double g x

```
type color = WHITE | GRAY | BLACK
predicate noW2Bedge (g: graph) (c: array color) =
  forall x y: int. vertex g x -> vertex g y ->
    c[x] = WHITE -> c[y] = BLACK -> not mem y g[x]
```

```
predicate white_monotony (g: graph) (c1 c2: array color) =
  forall x: int. vertex g x -> c2[x] = WHITE -> c1[x] = WHITE
```

Undirected graph: no W2B arc (2/3)

```
let rec dfs (g: graph) (x: int) (c: array color) =
  requires{ wf g \land vertex g x \land length c = order g }
  requires{ noW2Bedge g c }
  ensures { (old c)[x] = WHITE -> c[x] \Leftrightarrow WHITE }
  ensures { white_monotony g (old c) c }
  ensures { noW2Bedge g c }
'L:
  c[x] \leftarrow GRAY;
  let sons = ref (g[x]) in
  while !sons \Leftrightarrow Nil do
    invariant { white_monotony g (at c 'L) c }
    invariant { forall y: int. mem y !sons -> edge x y }
    invariant { forall y: int. edge x y \rightarrow c[y] = WHITE \rightarrow mem y !sons }
    invariant { noW2Bedge g c }
    match !sons with
    | Nil -> ()
    | Cons y sons' ->
      if c[y] = WHITE then dfs g y c;
      sons := sons'
     end;
    done;
    c[x] <- BLACK
```

Undirected graph: no W2B arc (3/3)

```
let dfs_main (g: graph) =
  requires { wf g }
  let n = length (g) in
  let c = make n WHITE in
  for x = 0 to n - 1 do
    invariant { noW2Bedge g c }
    if c[x] = WHITE then
      dfs g x c
  done
```

- why these invariants ?
- are they natural ?
- can be found automatically ?

White paths (1/2)

• if white path between x and y, then dfs(x) flips y to black

White paths (2/2)

ghost variable

let sons = ref (g[x]) in let ghost lv = ref Nil in while (!sons <> Nil) do invariant {(reverse !lv) ++ !sons = g[x]} invariant {white_monotony g (at c 'L) c} invariant {whitepath_monotony g (at c 'L) c} invariant {whitepath_flip_whitepath_in_list !lv g (at c 'L) c} (*new*) invariant {node_flip_whitepath_in_list !lv g (at c 'L) c} invariant {whitepath_in_list_node_flip !lv g (at c 'L) c}

'L1:

'L:

```
match !sons with
    | Nil -> ()
    | Cons y sons' ->
        if c[y] = WHITE then begin
            dfs g y c;
            sons := sons';
            lv := Cons y !lv;
            end
        end
        end
        end
        cone;
c[x] <- BLACK;
assert {node_flip_whitepath x g (at c 'L0) c} (*new*)</pre>
```

Strongly connected components (1/2)

- in spanning trees, no left-to-right edge [Wengener, Pottier]
- SCC are prefixes of subtrees



Strongly connected components (2/2)

- if y connected to x by nodes less than x in post-order traversal of spanning tree, then x is connected to y [Kosaraju]
- if x cannot reach a node y less than x in pre-order traversal of spanning tree, then x is the root of its component [Tarjan]



Conclusions

Conclusion 1

- Automatic part of proof for tedious case analyzes
- Interactive proofs for the conceptual part of the algorithm



- From interactive part, one can call the automatic part
 - possible extensions of Why3 theories
 - but typing problems (inside Coq)

Conclusion 2

- Hoare logic prevents to write awkward denotational semantics
- Nobody cares about termination ?!



- Explore simple programs about algorithms before jumping to large programs.
- Why3 memory model is naive. It's a «back-end for other systems».
- Also experimenting on graph algorithms and prove all algorithms in Sedgewick's book.

Conclusion 3

- Why3 is **excellent** for mixing formal proofs and SMT's calls
- Still rough for beginners
- Concurrency ?
- Functional programs ?
- Hoare logic vs Type refinements (F* [MSR])
- Frama-C project at french CEA extends Why3 to C programs.